

Votum Informativum

1. Supervisors (surname, first name)

1.1 Supervisor: _____

1.2 First Co-supervisor: _____

1.3 Second Co-supervisor: _____

3. Doctoral candidate (surname, first name): _____

4. Topic/title of dissertation: _____

Please give a brief explanation using the following criteria about the quality and merits of the dissertation that was prepared under your supervision by the above-mentioned doctoral candidate. Your explanation must include a clear recommendation to the doctoral commission to initiate the doctoral examination procedure (# 8). Explanations for the criteria in # 5 are compulsory; explanations in # 6 are optional.

5. General criteria

5.1	Please evaluate the results of the doctoral project within the scientific environment, and elaborate on whether and to what extent these results are specifically new and represent progress in the scientific field.	
-----	---	--

5.2	<p>Please explain the doctoral candidate's contribution to and share in the following points - if applicable, in comparison to the other co-authors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of the scientific topic - Concept of study /protocol of study - (Application of) Methodology - Responsibility of data collection (which charts, figures) in accordance with the submitted primary data - Data analysis - Critical interpretation - First draft / revision of the publication(s) 	
5.3	<p>How is the selected form of publication (monograph, publication(s)) to be evaluated? If it is a doctorate based on publication(s), please provide further details about the significance of the journal(s) in the scientific field, the review procedure, the editorial board, and any other aspects that are relevant to the assessment of the scientific quality and significance of the journals selected for publication.</p>	

5.4	To what extent does the scope of the dissertation and scientific content meet the expectations of a doctoral dissertation in this scientific field?	
-----	---	--

6. Specific criteria

6.1	Please evaluate the hypotheses of the dissertation with regard to clarity, state of research, and testability.	
6.2	Please evaluate the testing of the hypotheses in relationship to the selected methodology.	

6.3	<p>Have relevant international guidelines, e.g. ARRIVE, CONSORT, PRISMA, REMARK, BRISQ etc., been observed?</p>	
6.4	<p>Please evaluate the dissertation with regard to data and statistics and elaborate on the following aspects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Internal validity - A-priori calculation of sample size - Discussion of possible Type-I and Type-II errors - Quality of statistics of testing - Publication of the original data set 	
6.5	<p>Please comment on the dissertation with regard to Open Access. To what extent are the results of the doctoral project openly accessible to the (scientific) public?</p>	

7. Other aspects

8. Recommendation to initiate the doctoral examination procedure

We deem the above-mentioned doctoral dissertation to be an accomplishment deserving of a doctoral degree and therefore recommend the doctoral commission to initiate the doctoral examination procedure and to begin the assessment process.

YES

YES

YES

NO

NO

NO

Place, date

Signature first supervisor

Place, date

Signature first co-supervisor

Place, date

Signature second co-supervisor